

From: [Snyderman, Steven](#)
To: [Louie-Juzwiak, Rosanna](#)
Cc: [Farruggia, Frank](#); [Wolf, James](#); [Jakob, Avivah](#)
Subject: RE: ELECTRONIC BEAN for Dinotefuran (PC: 044312 DP: 412647)
Date: Thursday, June 27, 2013 11:26:10 AM

Rosanna,

My apologies for taking so long to get back to you, I was out of the office Tuesday and most of Wednesday. For the rebuttal responses, I'm not sure if you were at our last meeting with Landis in person but they had stressed how upset their labs were at getting the supplemental classification for these studies. Frank had pretty clearly explained the rationale for that and how we typically classify studies but I think it may just be better to put, as you mentioned, a formal memo addressing Mitsui's DCI response that would confirm that the more recent studies would fulfill this part of the GDCI (if not still be classified the same depending on your further review), that these studies are still usable for the PRA, and that we will consider their information and see if it's possible to upgrade the DERs. Frank may be best to clear that up again on the bio side.

As for the first Avian protocol, if you need more time for the review that should not be an issue, just let me know once you've done a preliminary review and have a better idea when that would be. The extension requests will be handled by us. I'm going to draft up a letter response soon that will incorporate this (we will likely allow the extension request for the protocols mentioned so long as they realize they are still on the hook for the dates in the DCI). I would also like to include EFED's response to their submitted "rebuttals" for the chronic mysid and the aerobic [and anaerobic] aquatic degradation studies in this letter. How long would EFED need to prepare the formal response for the rebuttals? In this letter I would also let them know that the Avian protocol is still in review, so that review would obviously not need to be completed in order for me to send out this letter.

Steven Snyderman, Chemical Review Manager
Risk Management and Implementation Branch III
Pesticide Re-evaluation Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
Environmental Protection Agency
Snyderman.Steven@epa.gov
(703) 347-0249

From: Louie-Juzwiak, Rosanna
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2013 6:15 PM
To: Snyderman, Steven
Cc: Farruggia, Frank; Wolf, James; Spatz, Dana
Subject: RE: ELECTRONIC BEAN for Dinotefuran (PC: 044312 DP: 412647)

Steven,

I wanted to follow up on the dinotefuran package that was received. The bean sheet refers to rebuttals for the avian acute oral toxicity study, with a response due by the end of July; however, their memo discusses the following:

Rebuttals: they submitted rebuttals and a request that we consider upgrading two recently-completed DERs – the chronic mysid, and the aerobic [and anaerobic] aquatic degradation (metabolism) studies. Back in February, we confirmed with you that these studies are usable for the registration review preliminary assessment (for which data comp footnotes were added to the DCI).

- If you need a formal memo addressing Mitsui's DCI response, are you only seeking for confirmation that the more recent studies (*i.e.*, 48680002 and -06) would fulfill this part of the GDCI, that these studies are usable for the RR Preliminary Risk Assessment, and that we will consider their information and request upgrade these DERs (I think we last said the chronic mysid is acceptable)?

Avian Protocol: Mitsui has submitted an avian (passerine) protocol for our review and comment, which is the first protocol we have received from them on this specie.

- This protocol will need to be vetted through our tech team, for review and comment. Depending on scheduling, we may need more time to get input from the tech team, and through final peer review.

Pollinator Toxicity Protocols for Multiple Studies; Extension Request: please let us know if you need any specific EFED input on this, but we'll otherwise defer to you on this request.

I will need the team's input on timing to complete a response and review of the avian protocol, but I'm expecting that the avian protocol review may take more time than the end of July, given current schedules. Frank returns in a couple of weeks, and I will let you know how much more may be needed to complete a review of their protocol.

Let me know if you have any questions in the interim – thanks,
Rosanna

From: Snyderman, Steven
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2013 9:21 AM
To: Brockett, Tanisha
Cc: Bethea, Jean; Brockett, Tanisha; Ervin, Margaret; Parsons, Dewillsee; Scott, Derek; Louie-Juzwiak, Rosanna; Jakob, Avivah
Subject: RE: ELECTRONIC BEAN for Dinotefuran (PC: 044312 DP: 412647)

Tanisha,

That is the incorrect bean sheet attached to the previous email. So long as the Avian acute oral rebuttal from Mitsui is in the right hands now though it should be fine.

Steven Snyderman, Chemical Review Manager
Risk Management and Implementation Branch III
Pesticide Re-evaluation Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
Environmental Protection Agency

Snyderman.Steven@epa.gov

(703) 347-0249

From: Brockett, Tanisha
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2013 9:16 AM
To: Louie-Juzwiak, Rosanna; Spatz, Dana
Cc: OPP EFED Tracking Team; Goodis, Michael; Snyderman, Steven
Subject: ELECTRONIC BEAN for Dinotefuran (PC: 044312 DP: 412647)

The above subject action has been assigned to EFED/**ERB-III/Rosanna Louie-Juzwiak**. If additional information is needed please contact me. Thank You.

*The hardcopy can be found in Margaret Ervin cubicle S-12953.

Tanisha Brockett, Program Analyst
Environmental Information Support Branch
Environmental Fate and Effects Division
Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. EPA
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (7507P)
Washington, DC 20460
phone: (703) 305-6937
fax: (703) 305-6019
e-mail: brockett.tanisha@epa.gov

Visit OPP at www.epa.gov/pesticides/